G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Cb 222-16 (1-4)

Preserve

1919-20 Wd 20/11

(Cb)

File No. 74-19

Serial Nos. 164

Later references.

Cb - 187-20 (1-2)

" 162-21 (1-2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. From one

Part B for April 1920.

SUBJECT.

Extention of the period of service of the temporary hands of the Central Records, Mysore Division, for another two years.

Sl (4) To The Registrar, Chief Court & the Comptroller to Govt. No. G. 23128-9 Dt. 8th April 1920.

Approves the source pointed out by the Registrar to meet the extra cost to be met ~~for~~ the Continuance of additional establishment

W D 1250—GPB—30,000—28-1-19

Subba Rao.
B. 24/4.

G. R. S. III—1]　　1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts .

NOTES.

File No. 74-19

FILE HEADING.

Extension of the period of service of the temporary hands of the Central Records, Mysore Division, for another two years

---

From

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

R.O.C No. 301 of 19-20 Dated 16/18 September. Received 20-9-19

Diary No. 5235 Enclos. nil Spare Copies. nil Serial No. 1.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the work of in the Central records, Mysore division, i.e.: arranging & destruction of records, is not yet complete and ~~communicate the decision & recommend~~ ~~ation of the Judges to continue~~ sanction to the continuance of the the services of temporary hands for another two years from 10.10.1919

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1) The proposal to strengthen temporarily for two years, the Central Records, Mysore Establishment, by the addition of 5 hands at a cost of Rs 85 p.m. was made in 1917 and Govt. sanctioned the proposal accordingly in G.O. no. J.1153-4 of 2nd Oct 17

Ch 222-16-4 -

NOTES—First Sheet.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Ch. File No. 74 of 1919.

Serial No.

Flag G.

2) The said order does not state from what date the service of the temporary hands sanctioned, is to be calculated. So, it may be considered that the order should have come to force from 2nd Oct. 1917 - the date of issue. In that case the term expires on the 2nd Oct. 1919. But the Registrar's letter (paper under disposal) mentions that the period has to be extended from 10th Oct. 1919 - that is the old term expires on the 10th Oct-19 and not on the 2nd Oct. 19

3) The Judges of the Chief Court consider the ~~Contr Continuation~~ extension of the period is necessary in the interest of the work to be done yet.

The Financial Secretariat has to be consulted.

Submitted for orders.

KL.
22-9-19

4) Reference is invited to para 2 of the G.O. of 2.10.1917 requesting the Chief Court to see that the work is completed within two years. The Registrar however states in para 2 of his letter that the estimate formed when sending up the original proposals, viz. of dealing with about 2 lakhs of records in 2 years, has been fairly well kept up in practice.

5) The source from which the extra cost during the year is to be met is promised to be indicated later on.

AM
25/9

6) The In the G.O. of 2.10.17 the Chief Court was requested to

5235

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

C5 File No. 74 of 1919.

Serial No.

see [illegible] that the works is completed within 2 years.

Subd for orders.

D. M.
27/9

II Member.

1586
28-9-19

7) May be sanctioned. The Financial Secretariat may be consulted as to the source from which the extra expenditure is to be met.

K.b.
30/9

8) Draft. D. M.
2/10

Rd 2/10

9) Submitted with draft sanctioning as per orders.

KR
2-10-19

10) The Dft. is not in proper form. The orig. G.O. is not read. Put up fresh Dft. D. M.
2/10.

11) Fresh draft put up.

Submitted

KR
3-10-19

12) In favour of remarks (Fin. Secy.) D. M.
3/10

13) The grants included in the Budget are at the disposal

5235 C4.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Cb File No. 74 of 1919.

Serial
No.

of the Depts concerned and the Finance Dept can not therefore say from what source an item of extra expenditure proposed by a particular Dept can be met. I would invite ref to Art 311 of M A C. The Depts proposing expenditure extra to the budget have to suggest the source for meeting it. The Chief Court may therefore be required to suggest some feasible reapp. if the Administrative Depts of the Sectt can not indicate any grant under which savings can confidently be expected.

Mmh
6/10/19

14) Chief Secy)

18
8/10

15) The Chief Court have stated that the sources to meet the addl expenditure will be pointed out later on. Subd for orders.

D. M.
12/10

16) 17 / 21-10-19

To be subd to R.P. after his return to Hd Qrs D. M.
12/10

Noted for note 13/10 / Subd [illegible] 1919

II Member 17) Sanction might be accorded & the Chief Court asked to indicate the source.

22/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20-3-18—S.

5235

(5

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Ch File No. 74 of 1919.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on NOV 5 1919
Reg. No. 2983

Serial No.

18) Subd. with a Draft sanctn.
May perhaps be subd. to the Dewan
D.M. 24/10

Chief Secy

Recd 25/10

19) Dewan

CSG 4/11/19

12.11.19

D.M. 4/11

20) Please put up a D.S.O.

D.M.

21) Submitted with D.F.N & D.S.N as per orders supra 13/11

14/11/19

22) Subd. with a D.G.O. for considn.

Chief Secy

D.M. 18/11

2548
24-11-19

23) 2nd Member

CSG 22/11

DFN approd

D.M. 22/11

24/11

24/11

Subd with a D.S.O.

Chief Secy

D.M. 26/11

Dewan

CSG 30/11/19

Final Note by the Second Member of Council.

Subject:—.

Continuance of the temporary establishment for the Central Records, Mysore Division, for another two years.

Government sanctioned five additional hands at a cost of Rs.85/- a month, temporarily for two years to the Central Records, with effect from 2nd October 1917, for the sorting, arranging and destruction of records in the Central Records.

Paper under disposal

2. The Registrar, Chief Court, reports that the work in the Records is not yet finished. He has stated that out of 700,000 records from which papers have to be eliminated, 145,035 have been dealt with and that out of 500,000 old records to be sorted, arranged and filed, 67,964 have been dealt with from the date of sanction till the end of July 1919, leaving still a balance of 554,965 new records and 432,036 old records. It is reported that the Judges are satisfied about the quantity of work turned out during the period of 2 years and that they consider that the continuance of the temporary hands for another term of two years is quite necessary.

3. The recommendation may be graciously sanctioned.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

24th November 1919.

7

Submitted to His Highness.

I submit herewith a Final Note by the...Second.....

Member of Council on the subject of...continuance..of.

the...Temporary..Establishment...for..the..Central..Records,

Mysore..Division,...for..another...two...years,............... -

for His Highness' gracious approval.

30th Nov
1919

Dewan.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

613
1.12.19

Continuance of the Temporary Establishment for the Central Records, Mysore Division, for another two years.

Order.

~~KRW~~
18/12/19

638

Ch. Secy
[illegible]

19.12.19

5235

9

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Courts File No. of 1919-20.

Serial No.

Subd for perusal before issue
20/12

2994
20-12-19

II Member
21/12

Issue
23/12

H. H. has approved the proposal.
F/D has agreed — Paras 3 and 4.
Draft + fair communicating the above Submitted.

1634-19.

Source not pointed out: item affecting future budget also

1981
17/1

16/1

+ Vide second sentence of the order

Issue

Issue
17-1-20

1221

+ re Specific grant invariably

After the proposed order issues with the approval of the Dewan it has to be strictly enforced.

19-1-20

Communicated
21/1/20

20/1

2 To
The Registrar, Chief Court & the Comptroller to Govt
No. G. 15603-4 dd 9th January 1920.
Sanctions for a period of 2 years from 2nd Oct 1919, the extension of services of the temporary hands of the Central Records, Mysore Division.

Note—Contn. sheet.

5235
13919

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Cb File No. 74 of 1919.

Serial No.	
3.	From,

the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.
C. No. 834/1920 d 24/26th Jany 1920 recd. on 28-1-20.
States that the expenditure for ~~meeting [illegible]~~ charges ~~may~~ sanctioned in G.O. No. G. 15603-4, Cb 74-19.2 d the 9th Jany 1920, may be met out of the sum of Rs 11,611/- provided in the current year's budget "for additional hands &c.," under the head 18 "Courts of Law" - 3 District & Civil Courts.-

In accordance with the G.O. referred to (Serial No 2 in this file), the Chief Court have pointed out the source from which the expenses for the continuance the additional establishment of the Central Records, Mysore Division, can be met.

Submitted for orders

Rl
27/1/1920

Is ~~[illegible]~~ it not to the Chief Ct that such sources shd: be pointed out?

D. M.
14/2

Please put up G.O. re decentralisation of powers in regard to account matters
14/2

The G.O. referred to in the margin is put up & flagged F. Under ~~the~~ clause 5 of the G.O. the Chief ~~Submitted~~ Court have power to sanction reapprn in their budget upto Rs 500. As the amount reqd in this case exceeds that amount, sanction of Govt seems necessary

Rl
27/2/1920

28/2

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

13949

C.S. File No. 74 of 1919.

Serial
No.

My query is not answered
Shd: not the Chief Court have
(i) made the refce direct to the Comptr
instead of to Govt ?
(ii) Is it necy for Govt to issue
another order on the point ?
(iii) What is the practice ? Please
put up rules if any

D.M.
12/3

C.W.C. Kindly bring up in person.
D.M.

Subd.

(i) & (ii) Having regard to clause 5 of the G.O. 939 of 18, it seems that the Comptroller cannot be addressed direct & that sanction of Govt is necessary.

(iii): This Br is not aware of any other rules on the point. As to the practice, it is subd that an exactly similar case is not readily available.

A.R.
12/3

Mr H R Rao
Submit
the case with
reasons tomorrow
12/3

Submitted with a G.O. for approval as per oral instructions.

KR
13/3/1920

A.R.
13/3

Subd for approval
D.M.
23/3

III Member

Appd
20/3

5208
24.3.20

24/3

Issue
D.M.
30/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

12

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Ms File No. 74 of 1919. 13946

Serial No.	
4	To The Registrar, Chief Court & the Comptroller Govt No. G. 231-28-9: Dy. 8th April 1920. Approves the source pointed out by the Registra to meet the extra cost to be met for the continuan of additional establishment of the Central Recor Mysore Division

B

13 APR 1920

5235/3

Ch Sectt
6 SEP 1919

Roc No 301
19-20

8328

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, dated 16th Septr. 1919.

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer Esq., B.A., M.L.,
Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

dis
18919
3-95

To be [illegible]
When does the above expire?

RIAT.
BRANCH.
SEP 1919

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No. J.1153--4/ Cts. 222--16--4 dated 4th October 1917, sanctioning five additional hands at a cost of Rs.85/- a month, temporarily for two years to the Central Records, Mysore Division, I am directed to state that the District Judge, Mysore, reports that the work of sorting, arranging and destruction in the Central Records is not yet finished and that the temporary hands have to be continued for another two years from 10th October 1919.

From the statements of the work turned out by the temporary hands submitted monthly to this Court by the District Judge, it is seen that out of 700,000 records from which papers have to be eliminated, 145,035 have been dealt with and out of 500,000 old records to be sorted, arranged and filed, 67,964 have been dealt with from the date of sanction till the end of July 1919, leaving still a balance of 554,965 records under the first head and 432,036 under the second head respectively. At the time the recommendation for the additional establishment was made, the District Judge reported that by entertaining the temporary establishment, nearly 2 lakhs of records would be dealt with during a period of two years, and the Judges are satisfied that this estimate has been fairly well kept up in practice.

I am to state that the Judges consider that the continuation of the temporary hands for another term of two years is quite necessary and to recommend that the Government may be pleased to accord their sanction at an early date. The source from which the additional expenditure has to be met will be pointed out later on.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

REGISTRAR.

S.K.

28/12

Compd No. J 4324 d 21/1/20

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT...

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to......6235......

Section Ch.
File No. 74-19.
Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue..................................

Signed by..................................

Read.—

(1) Government Order no. J 1153-4 Ch. 222-16-4 d the 2nd Oct 1917, sanctioning ~~the~~ any additional establishment ~~in~~ for the Central Records, Mysore Division, ~~costing~~ at a cost of Rs. 85/- per mensem, temporarily for two years and requesting the Chief Court to see that the work is completed within ~~two years~~ ~~that~~ the said period.

~~Order Thereon.—No.~~

(2) Letter no. R.O.C. no. 301 of 19-20 d 16/18th Sep 19 from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore stating that ~~[illegible]~~ the judges concur that the continuation of the said establishment for another

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette
English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette
Kannada part?

1/12

P.T. *over.*

two years is necessary and soliciting Government's sanction for the same.

Order thereon No. G 15603-4

The Government are pleased to sanction the ~~continuation~~ continuance of the said establishment ~~temporary hands~~ for another term of two years with effect from 2nd Oct 19

~~By order~~

The Chief Comr is requested to point out the source ~~to meet~~ from which the addl. ~~establishment~~ expenditure ~~being pointed out later on.~~ is to be met.

CSK 4/10/19

For Chief Secretary.

D M 3/10

20/3-10-19

To ~~the~~ Resy., Chief Comr

Camp Sr to Govt, thro' Fin Sectt.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

DIARY No. 13949

19 1920
SECTION Cb
FILE No. 74-19
SERIAL No. 3
26th

27 JAN 1920

19354

Cno 834
9.20

CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated 24th January 1920.

cw 27-1-20
452

From

G. SREENIVASA IYER, Esqr., B.A., M.L.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esqr., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SECRETARIAT.
III
PROTECTION BRANCH.
28 JAN 1920

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No. G.15,603-4/Cts.74-19-2 dated the 9th January 1920 sanctioning the continuance of the additional establishment of the Central Records, Mysore Division, for two years with effect from 2nd October 1919 and requesting to point out source from which the additional expenditure is to be met, I am directed to state that the expenditure may be met out of the sum of Rs.11,611/- provided in the current year's budget " for additional hands &c.," under the head 18 "Courts of Law" 3 'District and Civil Courts'.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,
G Sreenivasa Iyer
REGISTRAR.

AV.
Bty

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT...

....

....

Diary No. of letter replied to......13549

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue......8/4

Signed by......Mr Prin

May this be

- i Printed ?
- ii treated as a standing order ?
- iii published in the Gazette, English Part ?
- iv translated ?
- v placed at the disposal of the press ?
- vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Section Cb.

File No. 74-

Serial No. 4

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of **Mysore.**

Read—

G.O. No. G. 15603-4/Cb 74-19-2 dated 9th Jany 1920, sanctioning the continuance of the temporary establishment ~~for~~ of the Central Records Mysore Division, for another two years and requesting the Chief Court to point out the source from which the additional expenditure may be met.

~~Order Thereon No.~~

2) Letter No. C 834/19-20 d/ 24/26th Jany 1920, from the Registrar Chief Court of Mysore, stating that the expenditure above referred to may be met out of the sum of Rs 11,611/-

8/4

provided in the Current year's budget " for additional hands &c.," under the head "18 Courts of Law" '3 District & Civil Courts'.

Order no: G 23128-9

Approved.

21/3

for Chief Secretary

To

List of enclosures.

The Registrar Chief Court of Mysore (under a covering letter) G 23130-

Comptroller to Govt

Copied by

Examined by

13/8/1920 Nos 1873

WD 1744—GPB—30,000—17-3-19